#### ROTHERHAM CULTURAL CONSORTIUM

Venue: Town Hall, Date: Wednesday, 8 December

Moorgate Street, 2004

Rotherham.

Time: 2.00 p.m.

#### AGENDA

- 1. Apologies for Absence
- 2. Minutes of the meeting held on 15th September, 2004 (Pages 1 9)
- 3. Any Matters arising from the minutes
- 4. Future Perfect: Rotherham's Cultural Strategy

Quarterly update on the implementation of individual elements of the Future Perfect Action Plan: Guy Kilminster, Libraries, Museums & Arts Manager - Steve Hallsworth, Leisure & Green Spaces Manager - Marie Hayes, Commercial & Promotional Services Manager

5. Cultural Consortium Advisory Panels

#### Reports from lead officers or panel chairs on meetings and issues arising: Sport (Steve Hallsworth) - Green Spaces (Phil Gill)

- 6. Future of the Heritage, Archives & Tourism and Theatre, Libraries, Writing and the Arts panels (Guy Kilminster and Steve Blackbourn)
- 7. The Council's Corporate Vision and Key Priorities. Relationship with the Rotherham Partnership and Community Strategy Papers attached. (Guy Kilminster/Tony Preston) (Pages 10 14)
- 8. 3rd Rotherham Cultural Conference, 2005 (Page 15)

#### Draft proposals for a conference attached.

9. The South Yorkshire Cultural Prospectus (Tony Preston)

10. Lifelong Learning Scrutiny Panel

Request to nominate up to three co-optees. Note that Christine Cox, Joyce Williams and Roy Newman served over the last 12 months.

- 11. Any other business
- 12. Date of next meeting

#### ROTHERHAM CULTURAL CONSORTIUM WEDNESDAY, 15TH SEPTEMBER, 2004

Present:- Councillor Boyes (in the Chair); Councillor Littleboy and Brian Beeley, Mr. R. Bye, Ms. C. Cox, Sarah Davey, Mr. D. Gayton, Mr. R. Newman, Mr. D. Rowley, Esme Temple and Mrs. J. Williams.

#### R.M.B.C. Officers:-

Tony Preston, Business Development Manager, Culture, Leisure & Lifelong Learning Guy Kilminster, Manager, Libraries, Museums and Arts

Phil Gill, Green Spaces Manager, Culture, Leisure & Lifelong Learning

Steve Hallsworth, Business Manager, Leisure and Green Spaces, Culture, Leisure and Lifelong Learning

Richard Poundford, Head of Rotherham Investment and Development Office

#### 1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Austen and St. John, Tony Clabby, Steve Blackbourn, Marie Hayes, Michael Bishop, Stuart Lister and Phil Rogers.

#### 2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING HELD ON 18TH FEBRUARY, 2004

The minutes of the previous meeting were approved as a correct record.

#### 3. MATTER ARISING

#### The Old Three Cranes, High Street

A question was raised regarding the current position on the usage and ownership of this building.

It was reported that discussions were presently taking place with the owner of the store regarding future opening hours and state of the building.

#### 4. ROTHERHAM RENAISSANCE - YOUR VIEWS COUNT

Richard Poundford gave a presentation on the present situation with regard to Rotherham Town Centre Renaissance – a project funded and supported by Yorkshire Forward, involving a panel of internationally renouned architects and designers and which set in place a new way of regenerating the region's towns and cities.

The presentation covered the following issues:-

- What urban renaissance is and what it is about

- 10 goals as identified in the vision document
- Emerging masterplan
- Timescales both short and long-term
- Makeup of the Town Team

An aerial view of a Master Plan model was shown and examples given of the following artist's concept plans:-

- Westgate Basin Rainbow Bridge
  - Homes, Offices and Shops
  - Redevelopment of Markets and College Area
  - New Civic Centre, Culture and Arts Facilities
  - Riverside Living as compared to Leeds, York and Bristol
- Links to RCAT and Clifton Park
  - Use of green public spaces and boulevards
- Exchange Quarter "College Green"
- New Swimming Pool St. Ann's site completion by 2007
- Forge Island development options
- Ownership/Lease issues/Private Sector Investment

The following two projects were under way:-

- Moorgate Crofts (Managed Business Centre)
- Development of Speeds Building, High Street

Consortium members were encouraged to give their views which would be fed into the consultation process.

Issues were raised and the following questions responded to:-

- parking strategy
- situation with Tesco Guest and Chrimes site/planning issues
- Town Team working together
- need for a good internal bus/railway system in order to maximize public access to shopping sites
- Supertram
- Need to encourage public to shop in town centre
- Projected costings
- Centenary Way public access routes, including facilities for elderly and disabled - bridges/road lowering

It was emphasised that work within the planning stages was concentrating on the adoption of a Master Plan which had to be agreed by all parties.

Agreed:- That Richard Poundford be thanked for an interesting and informative presentation.

#### 5. FUTURE PERFECT: ROTHERHAM'S CULTURAL STRATEGY

Quarterly update reports were given on the implementation of individual

elements of the Future Perfect Action Plan, as follows:-

<u>Libraries</u> - Three years ago the service had not met Library Standards. However, since the refurbishment of libraries the service was meeting 19 of the 26 public library standards – an improvement from 5 out of 28 in 2001. This was attributable to the hard work of staff, management and investment in the service.

In addition, the Service has been nominated by the Charter Mark Assessors for a quality standard. This is in recognition of a high quality of public service delivery and customer care. The Service would be the first to achieve this status in RMBC, which was again attributable to the hard work of staff and management.

Councillor Boyes added that Rotherham had been highlighted as the best of the nine Authorities inspected by the assessors.

<u>Museum</u> – Work on Clifton Park Museum's refurbishment was in the last stage of completion. Exhibition fit out was well underway and staff will move back some time in October and be trained on new systems. A reopening date of 29<sup>th</sup> January, 2005 was being publicised.

<u>Archives and Local Studies</u> – Sarah Wickham, new Principal Officer was to start this week. Sarah was looking forward to meeting people who wished to talk to her on matters concerning archives and local studies, knowledge from members of this consortium being particularly encouraged. It was hoped to fully open the section once Sarah was settled in due to there having been temporary closures due to staffing shortages.

A comment was made that archives staff had done a very good job during the difficult period following Tony Munford's sudden death.

<u>Theatre and Community Arts</u> – The Cultural Diversity Festival at the Rotherham Show had been very successful, with very positive feedback from participants and audiences.

Participation in the work of the Town Team would continue, particularly regarding the replacement of the Civic Theatre, Regimental Museum and Art Gallery.

<u>Pantomime</u> – The sale of tickets for this year's pantomime was very good.

<u>Leisure and Green Space</u> – External funding used for staffing the Sports Development Team had ceased. However, a core team had been established which was now a permanent RMBC team. A number of key pieces of work had started to happen as a result. These included links with local ethnic minorities – All Pakistan Women's Association - and the introduction of a community coach as a result of joint funding through

#### Page 4

Sports Partnership/Sport England. This was a three year contract.

<u>Space for Sport and Arts Facilities</u> – All in place for Junior School sites. The feedback was very positive on the sports facilities which was being well used and accessed by all members of the community.

<u>Green Space Audit</u> – This was a key piece of work alongside the Green Space Strategy looking at the use of green space, identifying equity across the Borough and looking at current green space resources and how to target them more effectively. Work was presently being evaluated and the final document would be very useful in attracting both capital and external funding.

The Football Foundation in particular is keen to develop grass pitches as well as outdoor facilities.

A decision was still awaited on an application to the Football Foundation for a Football Development Officer to work in the community to raise participation for boys/girls and adults. The application had now been passed to the Football Foundation Panel prior to submission to the Board. This was a five year post funded by the Football Foundation.

In addition, the service had secured a post of PE and Sports Development Officer.

Councillor Boyes made the point that, as well as quantity, the audit was about the quality of provision.

<u>Sports Colleges</u> – Two Sports Colleges in Rotherham funded by DfES to develop a series of sports to all secondary schools. A person was being identified to develop School Club links. Strategy to (1) offer opportunities in exercise and fitness and (2) maximise links in the community to encourage young people to participate in sport - Active Mark and Sports Mark status which demonstrates that Schools are linking inside and out of the community. This was to be publicised in Rotherham Matters.

<u>Urban Park Ranger Scheme</u> – There had been positive feedback from several people about this service. A further year's funding had been secured.

Members of the Consortium raised the following questions with regard to the ranger service:-

- such a valuable service to the community it should be mainstream funded
- believed that the service was the way to encourage general public to use parks
- mis-use of skateboarding facilities security issues demonstrating need for ranger service

Councillor Boyes gave an assurance that the important role of the rangers was acknowledged within the Council, that the matter was under constant review and was dependent on funding. Ways of delivering the service through funding opportunities was constantly being explored.

<u>Heritage Parks</u> – The preferred consultants have been selected for the work on Clifton and Boston Parks.

Herringthorpe Leisure Centre – It was confirmed that the Centre would be demolished and re-built, the timescale for which would depend on the whole programme of redevelopment. It was intended to sustain current facilities for as long as possible until the new facilities opened. Depending on proposals by builders, it may be possible to build around the old building whilst still being used.

<u>Local Tourism Partnership</u> – A background to the formation of the Partnership was given since the restructuring to National and Regional tourism. Yorkshire Forward have not been prescriptive about what the restructuring should look like but are concerned about a sub-regional approach to be able to put forward a joint bid for tourism. A sub-group had been established to determine the roles, functions and responsibilities of what such an organisation in South Yorkshire would represent.

It was not Rotherham's intention to give up the strength of the work already established through the tourism centre, and one which other Local Authorities are trying to model themselves on.

Consultants were being asked to submit an interim report in November and it was hoped to put work in place early next year on (1) a proposal for a vision for tourism for South Yorkshire, joining together the different projects and (2) to come together in terms of marketing to attract longer stay opportunities.

Reference was made to interesting tourism sites in the area such as – The Churches Tourism – Countryside and Walking Festival – Roche Abbey.

<u>Commercial and Promotional Services</u> – Achievements over recent months were highlighted. These included – 2<sup>nd</sup> Rotherham Cultural Conference. The theme was Marketing Culture and good presentations had been given from Magna, Sports Development, Friends of Clifton Park Museum, Get Sorted Crew and Youth Cabinet. Workshops had taken place in the afternoon, culminating in a Marketing Took Kit being issued to all delegates who attended.

The event had been poorly attended with only 20 organisations being represented compared to 45-50 the first year.

One member commented on how good the Conference had been, despite the poor attendance, and suggested the event be re-launched. There had been a number of good high profile publications over the last few months – Theatre brochure, Annual Review of Culture and Swimming leaflet, Rotherham Show and Children's Publicity.

A discussion ensued on the prices of food and drink at Rotherham Show.

Guy Kilminster explained how the charging system was calculated, part of which was towards supporting the cost of the free show, and suggested this could be addressed at the point of specifications for tenders being sent to caterers next year.

#### 6. CULTURAL CONSORTIUM ADVISORY PANELS

**Sport and Leisure** – Steve Hallsworth thanked Members of the Consortium who had contributed to the work of the Panel.

As part of the consultation process, Sport and Leisure staff had been looking at broadening the vision.

A one year Sport and Development Plan would be submitted to the next meeting, one of the issues for consideration being whether to broaden the membership and remit of the sports forum.

The issue of children's health and active leisure was raised. This would continue to be discussed with Health Partnership members.

A similar issue remains with elderly people.

<u>Heritage, Archives & Tourism</u> – The last meeting had been poorly attended and no business had been conducted. The two members present had discussed with officers how they could best be arranged to allow more people to attend. Consideration had been given to merging groups.

One member agreed with this suggestion.

An issue was raised regarding the use of blue heritage plaques to be displayed at well known pottery sites and which were a feature of major towns and cities. For example, Rockingham Pottery would be a good example of the excellent work in this area.

The Libraries, Museums and Arts Manager agreed to look into this suggestion and believed a previous Conservation Officer had concluded it was a case of a lack of funding for this initiative.

<u>Green Spaces</u> – Phil Gill thanked Members of the Consortium who had contributed to a series of stimulating and open discussions. Major themes have included:-

- 1. Review of the scope and organisation of Green Space related services in Rotherham
- 2. Consideration of progress on Playing Pitch Strategy and Green Space Strategy

It was felt the Green Space Advisory Panel had a key role to play in the development of these strategies.

3. Following and advising on the development of the Urban Park Ranger Service

The Panel has expressed concerns about future funding for this service, and it has offered useful views about the role the rangers already have and the potential they have to meet some of the needs of the service.

#### 4. Individual sites or issues

The panel has looked into numerous site-specific issues also. These have included the future of Rother Valley Country Park, the YES Project and Bar Park.

The role and membership of the Panel was being reviewed, although it represents a good cross-section of interest groups.

A concern was expressed by a Panel member that the Trees and Woodlands Section was not part of the Education, Culture and Leisure Services Programme Area.

It was pointed out that despite this, efforts would be made to ensure that all parties ultimately sign up to agreeing the same objectives. This included working with other green space providers including CISWO and parish councils.

### 7. RELATIONSHIP WITH THE ROTHERHAM PARTNERSHIP - CULTURAL STRATEGY ACTION PLAN AND COMMUNITY STRATEGY

Reference was made to a number of DCMS Cultural documents which all emphasised that culture had a central role to play in both economic and community regeneration.

It was also recognised very strongly that the local Strategic Partnership of the Rotherham Partnership should be representing culture within its makeup and for culture to be championed as part of that Partnership.

The issue of the most appropriate way for the Partnership to become engaged with culture was being progressed.

It was hoped to be in a position to report further on this matter at the next

meeting.

#### 8. THE SOUTH YORKSHIRE CULTURAL PROSPECTUS

It was reported that South Yorkshire Culture met on a 6-8 weekly basis bringing together all senior officers from neighbouring Authorities, together with representation from Regional Agencies.

The meeting was chaired by an officer from Yorkshire Cultural and the work of the Committee was to draw up a cultural prospectus identifying issues/ongoing work for cultural activity across the sub-region.

The business was to consider a lengthy list of priorities and negotiation was taking place with officers in other Local Authorities.

This would be a useful document at the point of looking at funding opportunities.

#### 9. LIFELONG LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES SCRUTINY PANEL

This item was deferred pending further information from the Scrutiny Section on the issue of co-optees on to the Lifelong Learning Opportunities Scrutiny Panel.

#### 10. 3RD ROTHERHAM CULTURAL CONFERENCE, 2005

The meeting discussed planning arrangements for the 3<sup>rd</sup> Cultural Conference to be held in 2005.

It was agreed it was a worthwhile event. Volunteers were sought to undertake the initial planning.

Issues included:-

- Who to invite need to involve a wide range of specific groups
- Need for a major speaker to be invited
- Need for greater publicity/early programming

#### 11. ANY OTHER BUSINESS

#### Rotherham Show

Members of the Consortium asked for thanks to be extended to the staff involved in the organisation of Rotherham Show.

The event had been very successful, well attended and greatly enjoyed.

#### Sealed Knot – Battle in the Park

Praise was given for the organisation of the above spectacular outdoor

#### Page 9

event. Approximately 25,000 visitors had attended over a two day period.

Participants had thoroughly enjoyed themselves and been very pleased with the venue and public interest.

#### Membership of the Consortium

The meeting discussed the membership of this Consortium.

A suggestion to explore links with diversity groups would be pursued.

#### 12. DATE OF NEXT MEETING

Agreed:- That the next meeting of this Consortium be held on 8<sup>th</sup> December, 2004.

#### **Rotherham Borough Council**

The Way the Council will Work in Delivering the Borough Vision

#### **Rotherham Learning**

We will be a Council which listens, learns and is progressive.

#### **Rotherham Achieving**

We will demonstrate leadership and ambition for the community, be effective in all that we do; act and be regarded with confidence. We will be a champion for the borough and its people, We will be a talented council and provide inspiration to achieve the Borough's goals.

#### **Rotherham Alive**

We will be passionate and visionary. We will engage and seek to empower local people and partners. Our staff's wellbeing will be a key priority. We will be known as a fun and creative organisation.

#### **Rotherham Safe**

We will demonstrate\_honesty and integrity in all of our work. We will be worthy of the respect of local people and partners.

#### **Rotherham Proud**

We are and will continue to be proud of the Borough, of our work and of our staff. We will operate in democratic, transparent and accountable ways, which are inclusive and fair. We will be responsive and accessible. Our contribution within the Borough will be recognised and valued.

#### Vision for the Borough and Key Priorities

Our vision for the borough in the next twenty years is to achieve the following goals-these are set out in five priority themes, and two cross cutting themes.

#### **Rotherham Learning**

Rotherham people will be self-confident and have a sense of purpose,. They will aspire and develop to achieve their full potential in their chosen careers, work, leisure and contributions to local life. Life long educational opportunities will be available and accessible to all, Rotherham people will be understood to be skilled and creative, innovative and constructively challenging.

#### **Rotherham Achieving**

Rotherham will be a prosperous place, with a vibrant mixed and diverse economy, and flourishing local businesses. Wealth will be shared and inequalities between parts of the borough and social groups will be minimised. There will be an excellent town centre known for the high quality design of its public spaces and buildings, specialist and quality shops, markets, and cultural life for all age groups. There will be a wide choice of sustainable transport. Villages and rural areas will be revitalised and provide wonderful quality of life amongst Rotherham's beautiful countryside.

#### Rotherham Alive

Rotherham people will feel good; they will be active and live life to the full, have fun and have good lives. Rotherham will celebrate its history -building on the past, and creating the new.

People will be able to express themselves and be involved in many high quality cultural, political, artistic and creative activities. The media, arts and literature will flourish. People will enjoy good health and live healthy lives. As a society we will invest in the next generation.

#### **Rotherham Safe**

A place where neighbourhoods are clean and green, with decent homes for all. There will be lovely buildings and public space; peaceful but thriving communities with streets free from crime and fear of crime and violence. Environments and people will be protected and nurtured, a preventive approach will be taken to minimise accidents, hazards and to develop resilience.

#### **Rotherham Proud**

Rotherham people and pride in the borough are at the heart of our vision. Citizenship and democracy will underpin how Rotherham works. Equalities and diversity will be highly valued. We will be renowned for our welcome, our friendliness and commitment to the values of social justice. Rotherham will be a caring place, the most vulnerable will be supported, people will care for each other. Rotherham will be made up of strong, sustainable communities, both of place and interest, and there will be many opportunities to be involved in civic life and local decision making.

#### **Cross Cutting Priorities**

Two themes underpin and cut across all the priorities in the vision:

#### Sustainable development

Ensuring all development is sustainable, does not harm the environment or people both now and for the future.

#### **Fairness**

Equal opportunities access to services and the necessities of life are everyone's right. Rotherham will tackle prejudice and discrimination and ensure that all the priorities encompass an equalities approach.

#### An integrated approach

The vision is conceptualised as more than the sum of the parts outlined here. To achieve the vision we not only need to take action in all of the themes and cross cutting priorities, each needs to take account of and work in conjunction with other priority areas,. For example the Rotherham Achieving theme needs to work with the Rotherham Alive theme on ensuring cultural industries are included in the drive for prosperity, and to support disabled people into work should they wish it. An integrated approach, both to planning, action and supporting structures will be essential to delivery.

# Rotherham Partnership

Review of the Vision and Community Strategy

representation of the cultural sector both in the composition of the Rotherham Partnership and in the structure and objectives of the The Rotherham Partnership has commenced a review of its own vision and Community Strategy partially in order to align them more closely to the vision and priorities of the Council. The Cultural Consortium has been critical in the past of the poor Community Strategy.

Partnership officers have undertaken an initial realignment of the current Community Strategy performance indicators with the Council's priorities. These are shown as follows:

PRIORITY	INDICATOR	
Rotherham Learning		Improving the attainment and progress of pupils in the KS1 average   Improving performance of 14 year olds in Key Stage 3 English and points score
	Improving performance in GCSE 5 (A*-C) passes at year 11	Improving educational attainment of children and young people in care
	Increasing no. of working age adults achieving NVO Level 2 or above	Increasing no. of working age adults achieving NVO Level 3 or above
	Increasing no. of organisations employing 10+ committed to or achieving IIP standard	Reducing no. of young people 16-19 not in employment, education or training (NEET's)
	Increasing no. of new adult learners achieving a Basic Skills qualificat cumulative target)	Increasing no. of new adult learners achieving a Basic Skills qualification in line with global SY LSC target for Rotherham (14,850 by 2010 – cumulative target)
Rotherham Achieving	Annual Average Earnings as a % of the GB Annual Average (Full Time including overtime)	GB Annual Average (Full Raising the percentage of the working age population in employment
	Creating new jobs from inward investment per annum	Reducing rate of economic inactivity
	Increasing the number of Business Start ups	Increasing retail rents (psf ZA) and rental yields
	Increasing office rents (psf) and rental yields	Increasing industrial rents and rental yields
	Increasing the number of VAT registered businesses per 10,000 head of population	of population

rham	Raising life expectancy	Reducing adult smoking rates
Alive	Reducing conception rate among under 18s	Reducing infant mortality rate (infant deaths per 1,000)
	Reducing the number of children at risk	Reducing the proportion of social housing which is non-decent
	Increase the participation of drug users in treatment programmes	Reducing preventable hospitalisations for over 65s
	Maximising the contribution adoption can make to providing permanent families for children	families for children
Rotherham Safe	Increasing percentage of people who participate in voluntary & community sector activity	Reducing the fear of crime
	Reducing the overall crime rate per 1000 population	Reducing the domestic burglary rate for the borough
	Reducing the number of people killed or seriously injured on Rotherham's roads.	Increasing percentage of household waste to be recycled or composted
	Improving voids turn round time and incorporate enhanced security in social housing	social housing
rham	Increasing percentage of people satisfied with their area as a place	Increasing the percentage of people who agree that their area is a
Proud	to live	place where people from different backgrounds get on well together

## Summary

Intrinsic to the refreshment exercise is identifying the need to stimulate further discussion and thoughts about Rotherham's Performance Management Indicators. Part of these discussions will of necessity cover:

- Whether some of our current indicators are actually helping to meet more than one of the priorities (e.g. some of the Learning indicators are relevant to 'Rotherham Achieving' as well as 'Rotherham Learning').
- The appropriateness/relevance/usefulness of the indicators we already have in place, and how we utilise opportunities we will have to refresh these, where appropriate.
- Whether, based on the descriptions of each of the priorities (including the cross-cutting themes), there are other indicators which will prove beneficial to Rotherham in measuring progress made against our Community Strategy in future.

# Note on the development of the Community Strategy Measures Background

The aim is to agree a basket of high level indicators that will assess and track progress towards the achievement of the Community Community Strategy measures as part of the wider "refresh" of the Community Strategy. This note that would then act as a useful "aide memoire" to the report circulated at the meeting, and subsequent discussions to take place at each of the spoke meetings. It was agreed at the most recent RP Spoke Managers meeting that a note should be prepared to help guide the review of the Strategy goals and objectives.

## **Critical success factors**

Analysis and experience have identified a number of factors that are critical to success in setting new and revising existing measures. These are:

- Relevant and strategic measures reflect and compliment the five agreed revised strategic priorities (but avoid gaps and duplication)
- Small in number too many targets can be difficult to deliver and may be confusing.
- Emphasise Partnership working will require a range of organisations working together to achieve them.
- Are statistically robust specific and measurable over time to record the direction and rate of change. Systems in place to
- Based on local intelligence about their area and communities
- Focus on outcomes rather than inputs.
- **Consistent with and complement** other relevant plans, strategies and programmes, notably the Neighbourhood Renewal Strategy and Cohesion Strategy emerging.
- Clear about the accountable body for the development and downstream reporting on the indicator
- Align and build on partners' priorities this is particularly important for those that cut across a range of organisations activities such as crime

In addition to the development of the indicators themselves, you need also to bear in mind to that downstream the mechanism for arriving at a target will also be needed – further guidance will be offered to help with this. 3<sup>rd</sup> Rotherham Cultural Conference, May 2005

Venue: Clifton Park Museum

Day and time: Friday – 9am – 4.30pm. Theme: **Built and landscape heritage** 

#### Morning session:

9am: coffee and introduction

- 9.15: Keynote speaker from national or regional museum/heritage sector.

  Maybe Heritage Lottery Fund
- 9.35: Guy Kilminster/Steve Blackbourn: How we delivered the new museum
- 9.55: Keynote speaker from local museum sector.
  Maybe Rotherham Churches Tourism Initiative.
- 10.15 Questions to speakers over coffee
- 10.45 Themed discussion groups
- 11.45 Report back from group facilitators
- 12.00 End of morning session

Over lunch: What the Public Don't See: tours of museum by staff.

Opportunity to visit new café.

#### Afternoon session:

- 1.15 Tea and introduction
- 1.25: Keynote speaker from national or regional urban parks sector
- 1.45: Phil Gill: our plans for Clifton and Boston Parks
- 2.05 Keynote speaker from local urban parks sector (Sheffield Parks, Botanical Gardens?)
- 2.30 Questions to speakers over tea
- 3.00 Themed discussion groups
- 4.00 Report back from group facilitators
- 4.30 End of day.

#### **Process:**

Framework to Cultural Consortium December 2004

Detailed programme to Cultural Consortium February 2005

News item and call for expressions of interest March 2005 in *Rotherham Council Matters* (due for distribution 21 March onwards)

Letters to individual heritage and green spaces organisations March 2005

Staff members to facilitate individual discussion groups at Conference

Appropriate exhibitions/graphics panels to wallpaper conference:

Clifton Park Museum

Boston & Clifton Park masterplans

Heritage Lottery Fund

Rotherham Churches Tourism Initiative

Rotherham Urban Renaissance

Rotherham Civic Society